

us have another hearing, let us ask some questions of this man, and have him submit those memos. It wouldn't take very long. I assume he didn't write too many memos, but we could tell. I am sure they could be reviewed in a day. I am sure the hearing could take place in a day.

To say that this opposition is because he is Hispanic and he is a conservative simply is not based on the facts.

But I accept what my friend from Utah has said. That is what he believes. I know he believes that. I submit that it is not right. He has a right to believe that. As I have said before, people have made statements over here about why they oppose Miguel Estrada. That doesn't mean that my friend from Utah has to agree. But that is how people over here feel.

We have a problem with this nomination. We are now in the throes of a filibuster. The majority leader has said he thinks the debate tomorrow should go for a long time. If that is what he wants, that is fine. I spend all of my legislative life here in the Chamber. I can spend a night or two here. It doesn't really matter that much. We have a lot to do. I know we have other things the leader wants to do. I know we have a very important appropriations bill that should be coming forward in the form of a conference report very soon. We have to do that.

The other reason we may be going through this process is that the leader doesn't want to bring any of that stuff forward. Maybe this is an excuse for doing nothing. But whatever the majority leader wants to do, I understand the procedures here in the Senate, and we are here because he determines what we do on this floor. But one of the things we have a right to do is take a look, because of the Constitution of the United States, at nominations that are given us. That is what we are doing.

As I started my brief little talk here tonight, you may not think there is a problem. But take the word of my father-in-law. May he rest in peace. There is a problem. I would suggest there are well over 40 Democrats who believe there is a problem. It seems to me that is the case; there is a problem.

There are only a few ways to deal with it. You can stay here and talk day after day after day and run TV ads, as they are doing right now, saying that we are anti-Hispanic. It is not going to change the belief of people over here that Miguel Estrada should answer questions and that he should provide his memos.

If they do not want to do that, they can continue running their ads and having to stay here late at night—stay here all night, and have us stay here during our vacation. When I say "vacation," as everyone knows, they are not vacations; we go back to the States and work. But we are here. We have signed onto this. We as a matter of principle oppose this nomination. People may disagree with our principle.

But that is in fact why we are here. We think there is a problem with this man being given this appointment. According to us, he has not answered questions, and he has not submitted his memos. And he is opposed by a lot of groups who should be supporting him and don't because they believe he is not a person who should go on the District Court of Appeals.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Utah.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I appreciate my colleague. I agree with him; there is a problem here. I don't think there is any question about it. There is a problem of whether we are going to treat a person fairly. I appreciate my colleague in his own characteristic quiet and cautious and decent way. He has outlined what he feels.

Think about it. Where were the questions during the time they controlled the Senate right up through the middle of January? They didn't ask any further questions. Only two Senators gave written questions. They could have held an additional hearing. They did not do it. I guess they rolled the dice, figuring they were going to win anyway, and they would kill this nomination no matter what happened. The fact is they lost, and now the Republicans are in control of the Senate, and we want to see this man get fair treatment.

I admit there is a problem. But the Constitution doesn't say the Senate should advise and filibuster these nominations. It says the Senate should advise and consent to these nominations. That is a far cry from filibustering.

I question a filibuster in the case of judges in the third branch of Government. They are a coequal branch of Government.

With regard to the memos, Mr. Estrada said it is fine with him if they give up the memos. He doesn't have anything to hide. He is proud of his work. But the Justice Department, in its wisdom, says we don't give up these kinds of memos; it is a bad precedent, and we are not going to do it. So why blame Estrada for that? Why hide behind that when Estrada isn't the one causing the problem.

I happen to agree with the Justice Department. I don't think they should give up confidential memoranda that could chill the work that goes on in the Solicitor General's Office. I don't see how anybody with a straight face could make that argument as much as it has been made with straight faces today.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now return to legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate

proceed to a period of morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

AMERICA UNGUARDED

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, as President Bush gears up for a possible war in Iraq, we have been treated to repeated announcements of troop deployments and callups of Reserve forces. A fourth aircraft carrier battle group centered around the USS *Theodore Roosevelt* is steaming toward the Persian Gulf, and the Navy is reportedly prepared to send up to three more carrier battle groups to the region. Two Marine amphibious groups of seven ships each are also already in the gulf. Military installations around the Nation are taking on an empty, shuttered feeling as unit after unit after unit packs up, says goodbye, wipes the tears away from their faces, from the faces of loved ones, and ships out. This is happening more and more and more all over this country.

National Guard and Reserve forces have been mobilized not only to go to the Persian Gulf but also to guard military installations around the United States. And more and more and more, one will look at dinner tables and at countless workplaces, and there they will see vacant chairs, vacant spots.

The 300th Chemical Company, headquartered in Morgantown, WV, was ordered, on January 3, 2003, to report to Fort Dix, NJ, in anticipation of deployment to some as yet undetermined final destination.

West Virginia: one State, the 35th State in the Union. Every Senator here can look at his or her own State and see what is happening, see the same thing happening as I am seeing in West Virginia. These troops may be gone for a year. They may be gone longer.

Other West Virginia Guard and Reserve units have already been called up, including members of the Bluefield-based 340th Military Police Company. That is on the southern border of West Virginia, on the border with the State of Virginia. And then there is the Romney-based 351st Ordnance Company. Romney is in the northeastern part of West Virginia, a community that changed hands 56 times in the Civil War.

There, too, we see vacant chairs at the dinner tables. We see the families, the spouses with the children, spouses who have remained behind. They and their children bow their heads at mealtime and say: "God is great. God is good. And we thank Him for this food. By Thy goodness all are fed. Give us, Lord, our daily bread."

And the same scene is repeated and repeated in Kansas, in Florida, in California, in Washington, in Oregon, in Virginia, in South Carolina, in North Carolina, Pennsylvania, New York, Massachusetts, and on and on and on. And pretty soon it adds up.

Then there is the Kenova-based 261st Ordnance Company and the Bridgeport-